

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/912,188	BAILEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tran A. Quoc	2176	

All Participants:

(1) Tran A. Quoc (USPTO).

(2) Scott R. Hansen (Attorney).

Date of Interview: 12 February 2007

Status of Application: Amendment after non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NO

Claims discussed:

1-52

Prior art documents discussed:

NO

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

2-12-07

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to cancel claims 1-34, 37-48, 52, and amending claims 35-36. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.